Welcome Dr. Tim Norton (tim.norton@simalytic.com) Logout

Home: Author's Home

| Role: Author Event: CSEDU 2014 (Conference) | Registration | Submit Paper | My Account    | Home |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|------|
|                                             | Author's I   |              | Author's Home |      |

#### **Author's Home**



Below you will find the list of papers in which tim.norton@simalytic.com is Author/Co-Author.

Until the submission date you can modify the paper and re-submit it.

Once the paper has been reviewed, you will have access to all its reviews.

After acceptance, you are given the opportunity to indicate preferences regarding the presentation schedule.

You are the Contact Author in this paper

This paper has been accepted as a Short Paper for a 20 minutes oral presentation

Paper Title: Computer Technology Changes the Business of Formal Education: How Increased Technology Will Force Innovation in Education

Submitted to: CSEDU

Paper#: 190

2014

Paper Registered: CR Received:



Copyright Received:



Abstract: What is the future of education as computer technology exacerbates the divide between education as a business and education as a philosophy? Educational institutions, regardless of size, public/private charter or funding source, are faced with increasing business-like budgeting pressures. Technology has already removed many barriers to increased competition, such as geographic proximity, and allowed educational institutions to grow their potential markets. However, increased innovation in computer technology is also pressuring many of the more traditional aspects of higher education, including the fundamental business model. While there is no doubt that change is coming, it is not clear how future computer technology will impact the major aspects of education: Content Delivery, Student Assessment and Institutional Accreditation. What does seem clear is that educational institutions that cling to the status quo will decline while those that embrace change, in both academic policy and business practice, will

2/3/2014 2:31 1 of 5

improve not only their institutional standing but also the effectiveness of education in general. A new approach to the business of education is required if formal institutions are to keep pace with changing technology.

Keywords: Education, Assessment, Content Delivery, Accreditation, Business Model

Paper Details Paper Reviews Paper Download Presentation Camera-Ready Constraints Submission Copyright Rebuttal Download Upload

PRIMORIS - Event Management System - PRIMORIS ©2008-2014 All rights reserved. Optimized for 1024x768 or higher resolutions

## **Paper Reviews**

Paper Title: Computer Technology Changes the Business of Formal

Education: How Increased Technology Will Force Innovation in Education

Paper #: 190

Paper Selection State: Short Paper (20 minutes oral presentation)

My Status: Author

Number of Reviews: 3

## Review #: 1

| Description                    | Value                                                            |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                | Yes                                                              |
|                                | No                                                               |
| in number and quality          | No                                                               |
| validation                     | Yes                                                              |
|                                | No                                                               |
|                                | No                                                               |
|                                | No                                                               |
| Newness of the ideas expressed | 3                                                                |
| Weighted value of above items  | 4                                                                |
|                                | in number and quality validation  Newness of the ideas expressed |

| No |
|----|
| 4  |
| No |
| 5  |
| 3  |
| 2  |
|    |

Scale: 1:Lowest Value; 6:Highest Value

### **Observations for Author**

Although the concept of the paper is quite interesting I do not believe it is suitable for presentation in the conference at its present form. The paper lacks a solid theoretical background and an appropriate literature review. Also the references are not adequate at all.

### Review #: 2

| Criterium                                  | Description                                | Value |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------|
| Abstract and Introduction are adequate?    |                                            | Yes   |
| Conclusions/Future Work are convincing?    |                                            | Yes   |
| Figures are adequate?                      | in number and quality                      | Yes   |
| Improve critical discussion?               | validation                                 | No    |
| Improve English?                           |                                            | No    |
| Needs comparative evaluation?              |                                            | No    |
| Needs more experimental results?           |                                            | No    |
| Originality                                | Newness of the ideas expressed             | 5     |
| Overall Rating                             | Weighted value of above items              | 5     |
| Paper formatting needs adjustment?         |                                            | No    |
| Presentation                               | Structure/Length/English                   | 6     |
| References are up-to-date and appropriate? |                                            | Yes   |
| Relevance                                  | Paper fits one or more of the topic areas? | 5     |
| Significance                               | Is the problem worth the given attention?  | 6     |
| Technical Quality                          | Theoretical soundness/methodology          | 5     |

Scale: 1:Lowest Value;6:Highest Value

### **Observations for Author**

The paper argues on the ways Computer Technology will lead the change in Formal Education. It is a well-written, easy, and interesting read that addresses a very hot topic and could provide a useful discussion platform for CSEDU participants. The authors build their argument gradually, analyzing difference aspects of Education.

### Review #: 3

| Criterium                                  | Description                                | Value |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------|
| Abstract and Introduction are adequate?    |                                            | Yes   |
| Conclusions/Future Work are convincing?    |                                            | No    |
| Figures are adequate?                      | in number and quality                      | Yes   |
| Improve critical discussion?               | validation                                 | Yes   |
| Improve English?                           |                                            | No    |
| Needs comparative evaluation?              |                                            | No    |
| Needs more experimental results?           |                                            | No    |
| Originality                                | Newness of the ideas expressed             | 5     |
| Overall Rating                             | Weighted value of above items              | 5     |
| Paper formatting needs adjustment?         |                                            | No    |
| Presentation                               | Structure/Length/English                   | 5     |
| References are up-to-date and appropriate? |                                            | Yes   |
| Relevance                                  | Paper fits one or more of the topic areas? | 5     |
| Significance                               | Is the problem worth the given attention?  | 5     |
| Technical Quality                          | Theoretical soundness/methodology          | 5     |

Scale: 1:Lowest Value;6:Highest Value

### **Observations for Author**

Although I do not agree with the author, I have to admit that the article is well-written. There should have been a critical discussion of his position which should have included viable alternatives.

# Click here to close